

Midgley Partners Home Office - Questionnaire

HOME OFFICE CLAIM 2016

Client Name: _____

WP / P

Tax Year End: 31 March 2016

Property Address _____ (owned/rented)
delete one

1) Expenses

Electricity / Gas	\$ _____
Insurance	\$ _____
Mortgage Interest	\$ _____
Rates & Water Rates	\$ _____
Rent paid to landlord (if home not owned)	\$ _____
Repairs and Maintenance – please list:- Expense, Type, \$	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____

2) Apportionment Calculation

	Garage	House
Area used for business purposesm ²m ²
Total Aream ²m ²
Apportionment for business purposes	_____	_____ %

4) Other Notes: *Please see notes over page*

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Name: _____

Signature: _____ Date: _____

HOME OFFICE CLAIM 2016

INFORMATION ON HOME OFFICE CLAIM 2016**Extract from “New Zealand Master Tax Guide 2007”****¶10-530 Home office expenses**

A taxpayer who uses his or her home partly in furtherance of the conduct of a business is entitled to a deduction in relation to that part of the outgoing which relate to the use of the home for the work-related activities, i.e. heat, light, rates, insurance, interest on mortgage, house and contents insurance, furniture, equipment, carpet, repairs and maintenance as well as depreciation. The portion is based on the ratio:

$$\frac{\text{Area used for income-earning activities}}{\text{Total area of home}}$$

Actual toll calls incurred in income-earning activities are deductible, as is a portion of the telephone rental. The portion for the rental is based on the ration of:

$$\frac{\text{Business usage}}{\text{Total usage}}$$

The deduction is allowed under the general permission. The employment limitation would prevent an employee deducting any home office costs. The following factors assist in ascertaining whether, and to what extent, a deduction may be allowed in the absence of application of the limitation:

- when a taxpayer uses his or her home partly in the conduct of a business, a deduction is allowed for an appropriate portion of the outgoings on the home: see *C of IR v Banks* (1978) 3 NZTC 61,236 (CA); see also *Case S17* (1995) 17 NZTC 7,127
- it is a question of fact whether there is a sufficient relationship between the income-earning process and the expenditure in question
- it is not necessary for the home to be physically changed to suit the income-earning activities
- since it is not necessary to set aside a specific room, an apportionment based on the size of the room used may not be appropriate, and
- an apportionment based on criteria such as the amount of time spent on income-earning activities at home as well as the area used may be necessary.